



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
219 SOUTH DEARBORN STREET  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604

THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK

312-435-6860

August 24, 2021

Julia M Bochnowski  
Burke Costanza And Carberry LLP  
150 N. Michigan  
8th Floor  
Chicago, IL 60601  
[bochnowski@bcclegal.com](mailto:bochnowski@bcclegal.com)

Kimberly Jo Goodell  
Potestivo & Associates, P.C.  
223 W. Jackson Blvd.  
Suite 610  
Chicago, IL 60606  
[kgoodell@potestivolaw.com](mailto:kgoodell@potestivolaw.com)

Megan Christine Graham  
Magnani & Buck  
321 S. Plymouth Ct., 1700  
Chicago, IL 60604  
[mcg@magnanibuck.com](mailto:mcg@magnanibuck.com) (Inactive)

**In re: Wells Fargo Bank, National Association v. Rankin et al., Case No. 13 C 6166**


Dear Counsel:

It has been brought to my attention that Judge James Zagel, who presided over the above-mentioned case and is now retired, may have owned stock in Wells Fargo. We have no reason to believe that his ownership of stock affected or impacted his decisions in this case. However, his stock ownership would have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, and thus, I notify the parties of the conflict.

Advisory Opinion 71, from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

[A]judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned, even though that may occur after entry of the decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek, and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision. Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplated disqualification after a Court of Appeals oral argument, the Committee explained “[s]imilar considerations would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified.”

With Advisory Opinion 71 in mind, you are invited to respond to this disclosure of a conflict in this case. Should you wish to respond, please submit your response on or before August 31, 2021. Any response will be considered by another judge of this court without the participation of Judge Zagel.

Sincerely,  
  
Thomas G. Bruton  
Clerk of Court